

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0012-PR

WRIGHT v MAILATYAR et al

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: **18-Jan-2024** Archive on: 25-Jun-2034 (planned)
Case Closed: **25-Jun-2024**

Dept/Composition

Side 1. TIMOTHY R. WRIGHT, Plaintiff/Appellee

(Litigant Group) TIMOTHY R. WRIGHT

- Timothy R Wright

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellee

Isaac M Gabriel, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 21780)
Julie P Ibrahim, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 36294)

Side 2. AHMAD WALI MAILATYAR, et al., Defendant/Appellant

(Litigant Group) AHMAD WALI MAILATYAR, et al.

- Ahamd Wali Mailatyar
- Edyta Mailatyar
- Victoria Perez

Attorneys for: Defendant/Appellant

Timothy M Collier, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 30431)
William A Weber, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 34718)

CASE STATUS

Jun 25, 2024....Case Closed

Jun 25, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)

	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CV 23-0046				
↪ MAR	CV2020-016778	Slander/Libel/Dafamation	Sara J Agne, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

25-Jun-2024 ORDER

ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: **25-Jun-2024**

Mandate: _____

Decision Disposition

Denied

11 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 18-Jan-2024 FILED: Appellants' Motion to Extend the Time for Filing Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellants Mailatyar, et al.)
2. 19-Jan-2024 On January 18, 2024, Appellants Mailatyar, et al., filed "Appellants' Motion to Extend the Time for Filing Petition for Review." Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by January 26, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
3. 19-Jan-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order to Extend The Time For Filing Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellants Mailatyar, et al.)
4. 5-Feb-2024 A "Motion for Procedural Order to Extend The Time For Filing Petition for Review" (Appellants Mailatyar, et al.) having been filed on January 19, 2024,

IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the petition for review on or before February 26, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by February 26, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-24-0012-PR

WRIGHT v MAILATYAR et al

11 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

5. 26-Feb-2024 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellants Mailatyar, et al.)
6. 26-Feb-2024 FILED: Appendix to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellants Mailatyar, et al.)
7. 27-Feb-2024 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
8. 1-Mar-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00052 ; \$280.00, Authorization: 8387253475321353, Applied to: AHMAD WALI MAILATYAR, et al. - Class A Filing Fee (\$280.00) Paid for: AHMAD WALI MAILATYAR, et al. - By nCourt LLC
9. 27-Mar-2024 FILED: Response to Appellant's Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Appellee Wright)
10. 4-Apr-2024 RECEIPT No.: 2024-00069 ; \$140.00, Authorization: 8211543983450674, Applied to: TIMOTHY R. WRIGHT - Class B Filing Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: TIMOTHY R. WRIGHT - By nCourt LLC
11. 25-Jun-2024 ORDERED: Petition for Review = DENIED.
